



Quantitative Image Analysis in Clinical Trials - Current Standards and Future Developments

By Dr Anton van Weert, Managing Director of Heart Core bv and Professor Hans R. Reiber, Head of the Laboratory of Image Processing (LKEB) at the Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC) and General Director of Heart Core bv

The use of imaging is an established method in defining primary and secondary endpoints in clinical trials. The tremendous technological improvements in the performance of imaging equipment and software tools have played an important role in the application of imaging for study outcome purposes. Eight to 10 years ago, standard X-ray angiography was still stored mainly on 35 cinefilm and plain film and required precious film development processes in-hospital to guarantee good quality of the angiograms. At that time replacement of this proven concept by digital cath lab systems with DICOM compatible standards linked to a digital storage and archiving infrastructure started and took place in a few years. Although the spatial resolution of digital images with 512x512 matrix sizes is slightly below cinefilm, the better contrast resolution and the advantages of computerised evaluation processing and in combination with the option for semi-automatic quantitative assessment of the images on workstation dedicated software, facilitated the change to a digital standard.



Dr Anton van Weert studied Medicinal Chemistry and Molecular Genetics at the University of Leiden. After the completion of his degree in 1992, he went on to obtain a PhD in Cell Biology in 1996 at the University of Medicine of the University Medical Centre of Utrecht. In the same year, Anton started his career at the Pharmacology Unit of Kende International as a Clinical Research Scientist. In 1998, Anton joined Heart Core as the Managing Director.



Professor Hans Reiber is Head of the Laboratory of Image Processing (LKEB) at the Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC). He received his MSc in 1971 from Delft University of Technology and his PhD in 1975 from Stanford University, USA. In 1975, he founded the LKEB and has continued his work there at the LUMC, The Netherlands. His research includes knowledge-guided image processing for clinical applications. Hans is also General Director and co-founder of Heart Core BV.

More recent technological developments are directed towards non-invasive imaging approaches. The use of, for example, fast MR and multi-slice CT scanners has become widespread in many therapeutic areas, for diagnostic purposes as well as for clinical research applications. These three- and four- dimensional imaging techniques through subsequent acquisitions in the same imaging session, allow for the assessment of multiple parameters of an object. In addition, clinicians can gain increasingly detailed information on the appearance and status of a diseased organ and the short and long-term monitoring of the efficacy of the treatment. Hence, imaging has been accepted as a valuable tool in the assessment of inclusion and exclusion criteria for patients participating in clinical trials and the subsequent offline interpretation of these images at an independent image analysis lab.

The classical method of evaluating the efficacy of a particular treatment is to set up a reading session in which two clinicians, such as radiologists or cardiologists, review the images in a randomised and blinded fashion. In the event of a discrepancy between the two readers, a third expert will adjudicate. Although these interpretations may be important from a clinical point of view, and sometimes even the only option for image review, the concrete quantitative data usually requires large numbers of subjects to be evaluated for the study endpoint.

Quantitative Image Analysis

The availability of online software packages to support image interpretation and semi-automated image processing has become an essential element of the imaging era in the same way that imaging equipment in hospitals nowadays. For offline post processing and image analysis, the developments may be even more impressive. Where in the past, simple point-to-point or caliper measurements were conducted to generate absolute data, at present state-of-the-art software programs have been developed to perform complex three dimensional analyses to evaluate the condition of a diseased part of the body and the evolution of the disease over time. In some applications, this can even be done by automated matched analyses, whereby the information follow up can be mapped with those acquired at screening or prior to the treatment.

Because of the much smaller variability in quantitative image analyses, the population sizes can be decreased significantly if objective measurements are obtained. This is why the use of quantitative imaging endpoints in clinical trials has grown so rapidly in the past 15 years; it has been driven by overwhelming developments in imaging equipment and validated software for offline quantitative analysis based on automated contouring techniques.

It is important that quantitative image analyses are conducted centrally at an independent core laboratory in the same way that blinded readings are, according to standard operating procedures. Staff must be well trained and which, given the complexity of the imaging techniques, the subsequent interpretation and the software for offline analysis, will usually take at least one month, but can take more than three, depending on the imaging modality. However, even when qualified, specific image analysis guidelines must be described, taught and applied to establish low inter- and intra-observer variability for each study.

Based on experience with a variety of imaging modalities used in different therapeutic areas, the current standards in the application of quantitative image analysis in clinical trials will be described below, using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as an example. Subsequently, application of these principles in evolving imaging techniques for pulmonology, orthopaedics and neurology will be described.

Cardiac MRI

To evaluate the efficacy of a new drug in the improvement of left ventricular (LV) function, cardiac MRI has become the reference standard for the quantitative measurement of mass, cardiac volumes, wall thickening/thinning, functional parameters, like the ejection fraction and wall motion, and perfusion. When compared to echocardiography, the superior accuracy of MRI-derived parameters allows for a tremendous reduction in the number of subjects required in clinical trials.

Consequently, results regarding the safety and efficacy of a new potential drug treatment are available in a shorter period of time and decisions to either continue or initiate new trials can be made at an earlier stage. As a result, the number of patients exposed to drugs that in the end appear to be non-effective or show unacceptable adverse effects can be reduced and effective treatments may become available to the market sooner, but important issues from an ethical point of view. At the same time, considerable cost-savings can be made in the clinical research programme.

In order to implement quantitative cardiac MRI in a clinical trial, the first step is the development of the study protocol (see [Figure 1](#)). It is evident that the definition of clinical criteria is reflected in the inclusion and exclusion criteria. However, it is also important that MRI related matters are covered. For example, patients with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator, pacemaker or aneurysm clip must be excluded from the trial. Also baseline characteristics assessed by MRI, like baseline LV ejection fraction, LV mass and LV volume, are important. This can be measured online by the investigator, but will preferably be confirmed at the central lab in order to assure independent and standardised image post-processing and quantitative analysis.

For standardisation purposes, the acquisition guidelines for MRI must be well described and documented. These guidelines include technical settings of equipment, like the pulse sequence, spatial resolution (slice thickness/slice gap), field of view and coil setting, as well as the scan protocol for optimal imaging of the heart. Each investigation site must confirm that all requirements with respect to image acquisition can be met, and can be verified by evaluation of test scans.

The logistics of the imaging materials is done either electronically or by courier service. Electronic transfer

done by secure FTP transfer or by using a dedicated web-based transfer, both fully audit trailed and in compliance with 21 CFR Part 11 regulations. Whenever they are needed, blinding of the images must be performed at the core lab prior to starting the analysis process. The next step is to verify the compliance image acquisition with the guidelines and technical settings. Immediate feedback to the investigative site is important in order to confirm that acquisition was performed well or to communicate how acquisition and guideline compliance could be improved. In addition, it is important to verify that acquisition was done in the same way at both baseline and follow-up visits, so that comparison of the quantitative analysis data is possible. Moreover, this compliance can be increased by communication to the sites prior to the patients' follow-up that the settings are the same with baseline.

The offline analysis is done using validated software for automated contour detection of all slices from the apex at, for example, rest and stress and end-diastole and end-systole. Analysis can only be done by well-trained technicians or reading specialists according to standard operating procedures. Inter- and intra-observer variability must be documented and performed either within each study or at the core lab at regular intervals. Given the complexity of the analyses and the aforementioned prerequisites, it is clear that readers on an ad hoc basis cannot do this.

A second technician must verify that analysis was done according to the SOPs and excludes subjective interpretation as far as possible. If, after this, a QC process disagreement is noticed, the first technician performs the analysis again or a third technician will adjudicate. After analysis is approved, the quantitative data is processed by data management and transferred to the database.

Future Perspectives

Provided that well-validated software is available, offline quantitative image analysis is the preferred method when imaging is involved in clinical trials. The relatively recent developments in cardiac MRI analysis have shown that even complex images can be used for study endpoints. Also, in other therapeutic areas, software for automated quantitative image analysis has recently become available, and it is expected that these will play an increasingly important role in clinical trials in the coming years. Three examples are described below.

MRI of the Brain

For the detection of white matter lesion (WML) load by MRI several methods are available, varying from visual interpretation (increase versus decrease) to computer-assisted detection with still significant human input. Recently, new software became available for quantification of WML to investigate its role in normal ageing, dementia and late-onset depression. For optimal analysis, three types of MR images must be acquired: fast spin-echo imaging: PD, T2 and FLAIR, on which WML is seen as hyper-intensities (see [Figure 2](#)). FLAIR is applied to discriminate between CSF and WML, but has its limitations due to low sensitivity in the infratentorial area and may present some hyper-intense artefacts that look like lesions.

The automated analysis is done in three steps: lobe template generation, fully automated brain stripping, delineation and quality control. For each subject, all segmentation results are saved for true three-dimensional verification (which can be time-consuming), but also mosaic images are generated showing selected slices for faster quality control. In a validation study, 120 patients were processed semi-automatically by well-trained readers and automatically, using the above approach, to compare the volumes of the intra-cranial mask.

The mean degree of overlap was 97 per cent \pm 15 per cent, with an intra-class correlation of 0.949 (alpha = 0.997). On average, there was no overlap of the automatic mask with the semi-automatic mask in only 3 per cent (\pm 2 per cent); vice versa this was 2.6 per cent (\pm 2 per cent). This indicates that a fully automated quantification method is available for large clinical trials, and is expected to become the preferred future application given its speed, reproducibility and objectivity.

Orthopedic Implants

To evaluate the success of a treatment for an orthopaedic implant (such as total hip or knee replacement) it is important that the loosening of an implant is detected as soon as possible. In clinical practice, the loosening of prostheses is assessed indirectly in successive radiographs by measuring radiolucent lines around the implant and position differences of the prosthesis relative to the bone. Radiolucent lines indicate the presence of a fibrous layer. However, these measurements are not very accurate: radiolucency may occur in areas that

over-projected by the metal of the implant and the amount of radiolucency may be under-estimated. A more accurate approach is quantitative roentgen stereophotogrammetric analysis (RSA) (see [Figure 3](#)).

This technique involves small roentgen opaque markers being introduced in the bone and attached to the prosthesis to serve as well-defined artificial landmarks. Two synchronised roentgen foci are used to obtain a stereo image of the bone and the prosthesis. Using a calibration object that holds tantalum markers at known positions, the positions of the roentgen foci are assessed. The co-ordinates of bone and prosthesis markers are then accurately measured, after which the three-dimensional position of the markers is reconstructed with RSA software.

Finally, the change in the position of the prosthesis markers relative to the bone markers is determined, and translation and rotations of the prosthesis can be calculated. The importance of quantification became obvious after the introduction of Boneloc cement in 1991. After the market launch, several clinics reported a much higher incidence in the loosening of implants after using Boneloc cement as compared to conventional practice. This was confirmed by two clinical trials involving quantitative RSA. Unfortunately, at that point in time, Boneloc had been used in more than 1,000 cases in Norway alone, and after a period of four and a half years, the revision rate of the prostheses was 14 times larger than for prostheses fixed with conventional cement.

Since then, many clinical trials involving relatively small patient cohorts showed the correlation between migration (three to 12 months) of the implant as measured by RSA and the risk of early implant revision. However, despite these convincing facts, discussions are still ongoing, and regulation on quantitative RSA is as yet not mandatory as part of the data submitted to regulatory bodies before market launch. Besides the ethical aspects, such an approach would also allow a more objective comparison of new implants or therapy approaches with current clinical practice, similar to clinical trials involving new drugs.

New developments may enhance this discussion; whereas RSA has been applied with tantalum markers attached to the implant, software for so-called model-based RSA has been recently introduced. By model-based RSA, a three-dimensional model of the implant, obtained either by using the computer aided design (CAD) or reverse engineering, is used for matching with the RSA radiographs and the subsequent quantification of the degree of rotation, migration and translation of the implant relative to the bone. As a result, the costly and time-consuming validated production of prostheses with tantalum markers is no longer required. In addition, it excludes any marker interference with the performance of the implant.

CT in Lung Emphysema

Only a relatively low number of patients suffer from lung emphysema, a disease that results in the progressive decreasing of physical density in lung tissue. Lung densitometry, as measured by multi-slice computed tomography (MSCT), has been demonstrated to be more sensitive than lung function tests in the assessment of the progression of emphysema. Cross-sectional data showed significant correlation with pathology and lung function tests but at a high level of reproducibility.

However, longitudinal studies require an even higher level of reproducibility. As described above, in relation to MRI, this can only be achieved by the consistent standardisation of CT image acquisition, continuous verification of the equipment settings used during the acquisition, quality control feedback to clinical sites, and the availability of validated software for offline quantitative analysis (see [Figure 4](#)). The standardisation and verification of technical settings can be verified using a MSCT scan from a phantom that simulates changes in lung density.

For application in clinical trials, offline analysis and quality control feedback is a prerequisite, given the need for quality control feedback with respect to the technical settings of the different MSCT scanners on the market. The consistency of CT acquisition from patient to patient and at follow-up as compared to baseline, and the availability of the offline quantitative analysis process. Since all these ingredients are already available, more proof will be available from future longitudinal clinical trials in which lung density as measured by MSCT is the primary endpoint, as compared with functional lung tests.

Conclusion

In many therapeutic areas, quantitative image analysis is already an established method of assessing the primary endpoint of a clinical trial. This is a result of the enormous improvements in both imaging equipment

offline post-processing software tools. In contrast to blinded readings, application of quantitative image analysis usually requires fewer subjects to participate in a trial and yields hard data to compare new therapies with clinical practice.

Although blinded readings are still the only option in several therapeutic areas and will remain important to obtain the clinical observations, the role of quantitative analysis will undoubtedly strongly increase in the coming decade. With the complexity of imaging equipment, its settings and output options, and the offline analysis software tools, there will be an important role for independent core labs to guide the investigator during the trial and to process the images in a validated and standardised environment. This was an important factor in cardiac MRI imaging becoming an established method of evaluating the efficacy of treatment in II-IV trials, both now and for the future. ♦

The authors can be contacted at a.van.weert@heartcore.nl and j.h.c.reiber@lumc.nl

[back to top](#)

| [Home](#) | [About Samedan Ltd](#) | [Publications](#) | [Subscribe](#) | [Links](#) | [Archive](#) | [Contact Us](#) |

© 2001 Samedan Ltd. All rights reserved.